

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION**

Sara Flores, individually and on behalf of other
employees similarly situated, Plaintiff
v.
Kasia's Deli, Inc. and Kazimiera Bober,
individually, Defendants

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Sara Flores, individually, and on behalf of other employees similarly situated, (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") through counsel, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Fair Labor Standards Act (hereinafter, "FLSA"), and 820 ILCS § 105/1 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Illinois Minimum Wage Law (hereinafter "IMWL"), and complains against Kasia's Deli, Inc. ("Kasia's") and Kazimiera Bober, individually, (collectively, "Defendants") and in support of this Complaint, states:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks redress for Defendants' willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, 820 ILCS § 105/1 *et seq.* ("IMWL"), Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a week.
2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have failed to keep proper time records tracking Plaintiff's time worked and have failed to post a notice of rights.
3. Defendants' unlawful compensation practices have, and have had, the effect of denying Plaintiff and other similarly situated Plaintiffs their earned and living wages.
4. Plaintiff's FLSA collective action consent form is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Honorable Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal wage claims through 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (FLSA), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 U.S.C. §1337 (actions arising under acts of Congress relating to commerce). This Honorable Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Illinois wage claims through 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because they are so related to Plaintiff's claim within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
6. Venue is properly placed in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, through 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because the facts and events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this judicial district.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

7. Plaintiff resides in and is domiciled in, and was employed by Defendants in Cook County, which is in this judicial district.
8. During the course of his employment, Plaintiff handled goods that moved or that were intended to move in interstate commerce and was an "employee" as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §201 et seq., and the IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/1 et seq.

Defendants

9. Defendant Kasia's is an entity doing business within this judicial district and is an "enterprise" as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r)(1), engaged in commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A).
10. Based on information and belief, Defendant Kasia's has annual gross sales of \$500,000.00 or more.

11. Plaintiff, and other similarly-situated employees of Defendants, handled goods which moved in interstate commerce.
12. Defendant Kasia's was Plaintiff's "employer" as that term is defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), and the IMWL, 820 ILCS § 105/3.
13. Defendant Kazimiera Bober is the president of Kasia's and is involved in the day-to-day business operations and has the authority to hire and fire employees, the authority to direct and supervise the work of employees, the authority to sign on the business's checking accounts, including payroll accounts, and has the authority to participate in decisions regarding employee compensation and capital expenditures.
14. Defendant Kazimiera Bober was Plaintiff's "employer" as that term is defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), the IMWL, and 820 ILCS § 105/3.
15. Defendant Kazimiera Bober resides and is domiciled in this judicial district.

FACTS

16. Plaintiff worked for Defendants from 2006 until approximately May 25, 2013.
17. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a food packer at Defendant's location at 440 North Oakley Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60612.
18. Throughout the course of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, and in the three (3) years prior to Plaintiff filing this Complaint, Defendants scheduled Plaintiff to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week in one or more individual work weeks.
19. Throughout the course of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, and in the three (3) years prior to Plaintiff filing this Complaint, Plaintiff did work in excess of forty (40) hours per week in one or more individual work weeks.

20. In the three (3) years prior to Plaintiff filing his Complaint, other class members employed by Defendants also worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, up to fifty five (55) per week in one or more individual work weeks.
21. Defendants paid Plaintiff's wages to her at a rate below the Illinois minimum wage.
22. Although Defendants scheduled Plaintiff to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages at a rate of one and one-half her regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours. Instead, Defendants paid Plaintiff wages as low as \$3.27 per hour, including hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
23. Plaintiff's wages were not based on the number of jobs performed or completed, nor was it based on the quality or efficiency of his performance.
24. Neither Plaintiff nor the class members are exempt from the overtime provisions of the FLSA, or the IMWL.
25. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff or the class members a rate not less than one and a half (1.5) times the regular rate at which they were employed when they worked over forty (40) hours in a given workweek.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF THE FLSA
Overtime Wages

26. Plaintiff re-incorporates by this reference all allegations from all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
27. Plaintiff and the members of the class were directed by Defendants to work, and did so work, in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
28. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff or members of the class at a rate of at least one and one-half (1.5) times their regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in their individual workweeks.
29. Defendants' failure and refusal to pay overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week violated the FLSA.
30. Defendants' failure and refusal to pay overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week was a willful violation of the FLSA.
31. Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees are entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages for up to three (3) years before the filing of this lawsuit.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- A. Determine that this action may be maintained as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA.
- B. Enter a judgment in the amount of unpaid overtime wages for all time worked by Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees over forty (40) hours in individual work weeks;
- C. Award liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime wages;
- D. Declare Defendants to be in violation of the FLSA;
- E. Enjoin Defendants from violating the FLSA;
- F. Award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- G. Grant such additional or alternative relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE ILLINOIS MINIMUM WAGE LAW

Overtime Wages

32. Plaintiff re-incorporates by this reference all allegations from all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
33. This Count arises from Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for all time worked in excess of forty (40) hours in individual work weeks in violation of the IMWL.
34. Defendants directed Plaintiff to work, and Plaintiff did work, in excess of forty (40) hours in individual work weeks, up to sixty six (66) hours in individual work weeks.
35. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid overtime wages for all time worked in excess of forty (40) hours in individual work weeks.
36. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for all time worked in excess of forty (40) hours in individual work weeks.
37. Defendant's violated the IMWL by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for all hours worked in individual work weeks.
38. Pursuant to 820 ILCS 105/12(a), Plaintiff is entitled to recover unpaid wages for three (3) years before the filing of this suit.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter a judgment in the amount of overtime wages due to Plaintiff as provided by the IMWL;
- B. Award Statutory damages for Plaintiff pursuant to the formula set forth in 820 ILCS § 105/12(a) and 815 ILCS § 205/2;
- C. Declare that Defendants have violated the IMWL;
- D. Enjoin Defendants from violating the IMWL;
- E. Award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action as provided by the IMWL; and
- F. Grant such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE FLSA
(Minimum wages)

39. Plaintiff re-incorporates by this reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
40. The FLSA requires employers, including Defendants, to pay at least the minimum wage to their employees unless exempt.
41. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff or the class members at least the minimum wage for all hours worked in one or more workweeks during the prior three (3) years.
42. Defendants' failure to pay minimum wages to Plaintiff and class members violated the FLSA.
43. Defendants failed to comply with the predicate requirements under the FLSA by failing to inform Plaintiff and the class members of their right to receive the minimum wage.
44. Defendants' failure to comply with the terms of the minimum wage disclosure requirements and Defendants' failure to pay minimum wage was a willful violation of the FLSA.
45. Defendants violated the FLSA by refusing to compensate Plaintiff and the members of the class consistent with the minimum wages provisions of the FLSA.
46. Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees are entitled to recover unpaid minimum wages for up to three years prior to the filing of this lawsuit because Defendants' failure to pay at least the federal minimum wage was a willful violation of the FLSA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

- A. Determine that this action may be maintained as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA;
- B. A judgment in the amount of the difference between the federally-mandated minimum wage rate and the hourly wage paid to Plaintiff and similarly situated employees of Defendants;

- C. Award liquidated damages in an amount equal to unpaid overtime wages;
- D. Declare Defendants to be in violation of the FLSA;
- E. Enjoin Defendants from violating the FLSA;
- F. Award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- G. Grant such additional or alternative relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

COUNT IV: VIOLATION OF THE IMWL
(Minimum Wages)

47. Plaintiff re-incorporates by this reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
48. This count arises from Defendants' violation of the IMWL, by failing to pay Plaintiff, and the class of employees represented, the Illinois-mandated minimum wages for all hours worked in individual work weeks.
49. Plaintiff was directed to work by Defendants and, in fact, did work but was not compensated at least at the Illinois-mandated minimum wage rate for all time worked.
50. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid not less than the Illinois-mandated minimum wage for all hours worked in individual work weeks.
51. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff the Illinois-mandated minimum wage for all hours worked in individual work weeks.
52. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff the Illinois-mandated minimum wage rate for all hours worked in individual work weeks was a violation of the IMWL.
53. Pursuant to 820 ILCS 105/12(a), Plaintiff is entitled to recover unpaid wages for three (3) years prior to the filing of this suit.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter a judgment in the amount of all minimum wages due to Plaintiff as provided by the IMWL;
- B. Award Statutory damages for Plaintiff pursuant to the formula set forth in 820 ILCS § 105/12(a) and 815 ILCS § 205/2;
- C. Declare that Defendants have violated the IMWL;
- D. Enjoin Defendants from violating the IMWL;
- E. Award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action as provided by the IMWL; and
- F. Grant such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Valentin T. Narvaez

Valentin T. Narvaez 6300409
Consumer Law Group, LLC
6232 N. Pulaski, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60646
Direct: 312-878-1302
vnarvaez@yourclg.com

One of Plaintiff's attorneys